UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Konald Koss, et al.	
~1 · 100()	CASE NO. 08-00236 MMC
Plaintiff(s),	
V. County of Alameda, et al.	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
Defendant(s).	
Counsel report that they have met and co following stipulation pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-2	onferred regarding ADR and have reached the 8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:
The parties agree to participate in the following	ADR process:
Court Processes: Non-binding Arbitration (ADR I Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) ✓ Mediation (ADR L.R. 6)	
(Note: Parties who believe that an early settlem appreciably more likely to meet their needs that ADR phone conference and may not file this for ADR Phone Conference. See Civil Local Rule 1	n any other form of ADR, must participate in an rm. They must instead file a Notice of Need for
Private Process: ✓ Private ADR (please identify proselecting a mutually agreeable mediator to handle this materials).	ocess and provider) The parties are in the process of atter, and will notify the Court once they have done so.
The parties agree to hold the ADR session by: the presumptive deadline (The dereferring the case to an ADR pro	eadline is 90 days from the date of the order ocess unless otherwise ordered.)
√ other requested deadline December	er 2008 [so that the parties may obtain discovery]
Dated: 5/23/08	/s/ - "Mark E. Merin" Attorney for Plaintiff
Dated: 5/23/08	/s/ - "Gregory J. Rockwell" Attorney for Defendant

When filing this document in ECF, please be sure to use the appropriate ADR Docket Event, e.g., "Stipulation and Proposed Order Selecting Early Neutral Evaluation."

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Pursuant to the Stipulation above, the captioned matter is hereby referred to:

Non-binding Arbitration Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) Mediation Private ADR

Deadline for ADR session 90 days from the date of this order. other

IT IS SO ORDERED.	
Dated:	

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE